The Economics of Climate Change

Dr Simon Dietz London School of Economics

Outline

15 April 2008

LSE antenna konst

a frenchert a

- 1. What should the global climatechange target be?
- Targets, prices, taxes and trading how governments are designing policy

Climate Change Business Forum,

Hong Kong

LSE -----

 Shadow' prices and regulatory impact assessment – trying to reduce emissions cheaply

What should the global climate-change target be?



Stabilisation level (in ppm CO ₂ e)	2°C	3°C	4°C	5°C	6°C	7°C
450	78	18	3	1	0	
500	96	44	11	3	1	
550	99	69	24	7	2	1
650	100	94	58	24	9	4
750	100	99	82	47	22	9

'Probabilities' of temperature



So what target are we aiming for?

- 5°C warming or more would transform the natural environment; it is unprecedented in human history
- On basis of implied probabilities of temperature increase, it is therefore dangerous to go beyond 550 ppm CO₂e
- Stabilisation at 550 or even 500 ppm CO₂e 'buys' a sharp reduction in the implied probability of dangerous warming
- Cost of action to get in range 500-550 looks acceptable relative to potential damages avoided
- But even 500 still implies possibly significant warming. This could justify 450 ppm CO₂e, although this looks costly to achieve without overshooting

LSE -----

15 April 2008	Climate Change Business Forum,	
	Hong Kong	





Common but differentiated responsibilities Source: Ecofys (2007) Reduction below 1990 level in 2020 and 2050 Kyoto target Increase above 1990 level in 2020 and 2056 2050 Time 1990 2004 2010 2020 2050 Time 1990 2004 Country on the left is industrialised (e.g. UK or USA); country on the right is developing (e.g. China) Many methods proposed for sharing the burden, but the differences are small. The overall target matters more

15 April 2008	Climate Change Business Forum,	_
	Hong Kong	



All copyright reserved for the speaker

Preliminaries: Kyoto and all that

- UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
 - Overall goal of stabilisation to avoid 'dangerous' climate change
 - Principle of `common but differentiated responsibilities'

Kyoto Protocol (to the UNFCCC)

- Modest targets to reduce national emissions by 2012 in so-called 'Annex I' countries
- Introduced flexible mechanisms to meet these targets
 International Emissions Trading
 Clean Development Mechanism/Joint Implementation

A freedom to an

 Up to each country to decide how to meet its target, using these flexible mechanisms and other policies

EU opted for firm-level emissions trading Climate Change Business Forum, Hong Kong

The EU emissions trading scheme

- Emissions of sulphur and nitrous oxide have been traded in the US since 1995
 - This was a big success the costs of compliance with US emissions quotas fell 50%
- The EU ETS is the world's first and still largest firm-level international scheme to trade carbon
- □ Firms can buy emission reductions in emerging economies (more on this shortly)
- Has created a major new market opportunity in financial services

15 April 2008	Climate Change Business Forum,	
	Hong Kong	Ice when



And thanks to the `CDM' it is not limited to the EU



Who is selling? China is the major player in the CDM India 12% Other & Unsi Conter & Unsp. Africa R. of Latin Am Brazil R. of Asia R. of Asia 7% Africa Chin Chine 3% 61% Other & Unsr 7% Brazil 4% R. of Latin America 6% 2003 2004 2005 2004 200644 2002-2006 Source: World Bank (2008) 15 April 2008 Climate Change Business Forum,

Hong Kong

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text>

Par / Radents Now

Climate Change Business Forum,

Hong Kong

15 April 2008

SF attentetter



So the carbon market has huge potential



'Shadow' prices and regulatory impact assessment: trying to reduce emissions cheaply



Reducing emissions requires action across many sectors



All sectors and regions have 'mitigation potential'



The UK `shadow price of carbon'

- Problem: all government policies, plans, programmes and projects produce greenhouse gas emissions
- To reduce emissions at the lowest cost, action should be targeted where it is cheapest
- Solution 1: micro-manage
 Experience tells us this is difficult
- Solution 2: set a price on carbon, include it in a cost-benefit analysis of all policies, plans, programmes and projects
 - Hence the new UK shadow price of carbon all emissions from all policies etc. should be priced at roughly 50 US\$/tCO2

15 April 2008 Climate Change Business Forum, Hong Kong



The Economics of Climate Change

> Dr Simon Dietz London School of Economics s.dietz@lse.ac.uk

> > LSE "relations from